



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE                             | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |  |
|-----------------|---|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/454,526      | 12/06/1999                              | MATTHEW G. GORBET    | 07447.0044-0        | 3842             |  |  |
| 22852 75        | 590 11/26/2002                          |                      |                     |                  |  |  |
| FINNEGAN,       | FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & |                      |                     | EXAMINER         |  |  |
| DUNNER LLP      | Γ, NW                                   |                      | FRANKLIN, JAM       | ARA ALZAIDA      |  |  |
| WASHINGTO       | N, DC 20006                             |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |  |
|                 |   |                      | 2876                |                  |  |  |

DATE MAILED: 11/26/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

| )•  | -   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Advisory Action   |   | 09/454,526  | GORBET ET AL.   | <u>.</u>   |
|   |   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |
|   |   | Jamara A. Franklin  | 2876  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this commu  | ınication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the o   | correspondence add  | ress   |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 November 2002 FA Therefore, further action by the applicant is final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed No Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C  | required to average to every the either: (1) tice of Appear   | oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control | ation. A proper reply high places the applica   | y to a<br>ition in   |
| PEF   | RIOD FOR RE   | EPLY [check either a) or b)]  |   |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mai no event, however, will the statutory period ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIR 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CF fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determifee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the exitation (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply rec | ling date of this A<br>for reply expire I<br>ST REPLY WAS<br>R 1.136(a). The<br>ining the period of<br>expiration date of the | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply  | g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the appropunt of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final | on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or |
| timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjus   | tment. See 37 C   | FR 1.704(b).  |   | o, o   |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension th   |   |   |   |  |
| $2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not   | be entered be   | ecause:   |   |  |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would  | require furthe  | er consideration and/or search (  | see NOTE below);  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter  | er (see Note b  | elow);  |   |  |
| <ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the<br/>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>   | application ir  | n better form for appeal by mate  | rially reducing or sir  | mplifying the  |
| (d)  they present additional claims w   | ithout canceli  | ng a corresponding number of fi   | inally rejected claim   | S.   |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.   |   |   |   |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the fo  | llowing rejecti   | on(s):  |   |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   |   | be allowable if submitted in a se   | eparate, timely filed   | amendment  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ application in condition for allowance   | ☑ request for because: <u>See</u>   | reconsideration has been consi<br><u>e Continuation Sheet</u> .   | dered but does NO   | T place the  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be co raised by the Examiner in the final rej  | nsidered beca<br>jection.   | ause it is not directed SOLELY t  | o issues which were   | e newly  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed explanation of how the new or amend   |   |   |   | ind an   |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be   | e) as follows:  |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |   |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .   |   |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration   | on:   |   |   |  |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed  | l on is a   | a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp  | roved by the Examin   | ner.   |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclos  | ure Statemen  | t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  |   | _  |
| 10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>   |   | <i>y</i> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •  |   | )  |
|   |   | S   | MICHAEL G.<br>UPERVISORY PATENT<br>TECHNOLOGY CENT  | EXAMINER   |
| . Palent and Trademark Office   |   |   | TECHNOLOGI CENT   | LI1 2900   |

1



Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amendment of the limitation citing "a display for displaying the second information on the substrate" would require further consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the examiner submits that the Blanford reference reads upon the claimed limitations.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 1-6 remian rejected as set forth in the final office action of paper no. 17.